

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,948	10/26/2001	Thomas Samuel Zemanian	E-13260	2515
75	90 09/11/2003			
Douglas E. McKinley, Jr.			EXAMINER	
McKinley Law P.O. Box 202	Office		LOVERING, RICHARD D	
Richland, WA	00352			
Kicilialiu, WA	7733 <u>2</u>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003	/
				\mathcal{O}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. 10/045-948	Applicant(s) ZEMANIAN	和 flb
Office Action Summary	Examiner LOVER	NG Group Art Unit	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence	address
Peri d for Reply	_		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE M	AILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defauter to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statements. 	reply within the statutory minimult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) days will be considered the mailing date of this communic	dered timely. cation .
Status		,	
X Responsive to communication(s) filed on JULY 7	2003 (CO.M. 6-	<i>30-</i> 03)	•
☐ This action is FINAL.			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 			closed in
Disp sition of Claims			
X Claim(s) 1-25	is/are pending in the a	pplication.	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from	_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
X Claim(s) 11,12,14-17,19-22,2	is/are allowed.		
$\sqrt{\text{Claim(s)}} = -5, 7-9, 13, 18 \text{ AND } 2$	is/are rejected		
Claim(s)	<u> </u>	13/ale lejecteu.	
Claim(s) 6 ANO 10		is/are objected to.	on or election
16 Claim(s) 6 ANO 10		is/are objected to.	on or election
Claim(s) 6 AND 10 Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ing Review, PTO-948.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction requirement.	on or election
Claim(s) 6 AND 10 Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction requirement.	on or election
Claim(s) 6 AND 10 Claim(s) 7 Cla	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction requirement.	on or election
Claim(s) 6 AND 10 Claim(s) Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction requirement.	on or election
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction requirement.	on or election
Claim(s) 6 AND 10 Claim(s) 7 Claim(s) 8 Cla	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved (ected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction requirement. ☐ disapproved.	on or election
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved (ected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction requirement. disapproved.	on or election
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on Is/are objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved (ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-of the priority documents ha	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction requirement. □ disapproved. d). ve been	on or election
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved (ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(of the priority documents ha	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction requirement. disapproved. d). ve been	on or election
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	ing Review, PTO-948 is	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction requirement. disapproved. d). ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).	on or election
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num received in this national stage application from the Ir	ing Review, PTO-948 is	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction requirement. disapproved. d). ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).	on or election
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num received in this national stage application from the Ir	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved (exted to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(of the priority documents had ber) international Bureau (PCT Recognity 11 priority documents)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction requirement. disapproved. d). ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).	on or election
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on Is/are objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num received in this national stage application from the Ir *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	ing Review, PTO-948 is approved { ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-0 of the priority documents haber) nternational Bureau (PCT R	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction requirement. □ disapproved. d). ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. 6

Serial No. 10/045,948

Art Unit 1712

- 1. The Samuels Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed July 2, 2003 is sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-9 based upon Laufer et al. of record, alone or in view of Wirth et al. of record.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §

 102(b) as being anticipated by Wirth et al. 5,716,705 of record,

 esp. Example 1. While Wirth et al. may not use the term

 "aerogel", this is the common meaning for "dry alumina and

 silica" in Example 1, column 8, line 15.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 13, 18 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §
112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to
particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter
which applicants regard as the invention.

Serial No. 10/045,948

Art Unit 1712

Claims 13, 18 and 23 are indefinite in lacking antecedent basis for "ceramic oxide" in claims 11, 16 and 21 (upon which they depend. [Claims 13, 18 and 23 should be rendered dependent upon claims 12, 17 and 22, respectively.]

- 6. Claims 6 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 13, 18 and 23 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record doesn't disclose or fairly suggest the compositions of claims 6 and 10 herein, or the methods of claims 11-25 herein.
- 9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-9, 13, 18 and 23 have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 10. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The heading at the top of page 8 of the specification should be shortened by omitting "Several Views of The".

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit 1712

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lovering whose telephone number is (703) 308-0443. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 7:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson, can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

R. Lovering:cdc September 8, 2003

RICHARD D. LOVERING
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1223/1700